A version of Cartan's Theorem A for coherent sheaves on real affine varieties

Tomasz Kowalczyk

Jagiellonian University in Kraków

Łódź, January 12, 2018

Theorem 1 (Serre)

Let X be an affine variety over an algebraically closed field and $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on X. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is spanned by its global sections.

Theorem 1 (Serre)

Let X be an affine variety over an algebraically closed field and $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on X. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is spanned by its global sections.

X will be a non-singular real affine variety with structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$.

Definition 2

A sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$-Modules is called coherent if there exists a finite Zariski open covering $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of X such that for every U_i there is an exact sequence of sheaves

$$\mathcal{O}_X^{p_i}|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \mathcal{O}_X^{q_i}|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\psi_i} \mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \to 0.$$

There are several cases when Theorem A is true:

There are several cases when Theorem A is true:

1. complex analytic geometry,

There are several cases when Theorem A is true:

1. complex analytic geometry,
2. algebraic geometry over algebraically closed field (Serre)

There are several cases when Theorem A is true:

1. complex analytic geometry,
2. algebraic geometry over algebraically closed field (Serre)
3. scheme theory (Grothendieck, Hartshorne)

There are several cases when Theorem A is true:

1. complex analytic geometry,
2. algebraic geometry over algebraically closed field (Serre)
3. scheme theory (Grothendieck, Hartshorne)
4. regulous geometry (Fichou, Huisman, Mangolte, Monnier)

There are several cases when Theorem A is true:

1. complex analytic geometry,
2. algebraic geometry over algebraically closed field (Serre)
3. scheme theory (Grothendieck, Hartshorne)
4. regulous geometry (Fichou, Huisman, Mangolte, Monnier)
5. regulous geometry over Henselian valued fields (Nowak)

Example 1

Let $P = X^2(X-1)^2 + Y^2 \in \mathbb{R}[X,Y]$*. The polynomial* P *is irreducible and has only two zeros* $c_1 = (0,0)$ *and* $c_2 = (1,0)$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^2$*. Put* $U_i = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{c_i\}$*. The transition function*

$$g_{1,2} : U_1 \cap U_2 \to GL(1,\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^*$$

$$(x,y) \mapsto P(x,y)$$

defines a vector bundle of rank 1 over $\mathbb{R}^2$*.*

Example 1

Let $P = X^2(X-1)^2 + Y^2 \in \mathbb{R}[X,Y]$. *The polynomial* P *is irreducible and has only two zeros* $c_1 = (0,0)$ *and* $c_2 = (1,0)$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^2$. *Put* $U_i = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{c_i\}$. *The transition function*

$$g_{1,2} : U_1 \cap U_2 \to GL(1,\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^*$$

$$(x,y) \mapsto P(x,y)$$

defines a vector bundle of rank 1 over $\mathbb{R}^2$. *Global sections can be described as a pair* (s_1, s_2) *where* $s_i : U_i \to \mathbb{R}$ *are regular functions and* $s_1 = g_{1,2}s_2$. *Set* $s_i = \frac{f_i}{h_i}$ *where* f_i, h_i *are relatively prime polynomials. Then* $f_1 h_2 = P f_2 h_1$. *Since* P *does not divide* h_2 *we obtain that* $f_1 = \lambda P f_2$ *and* $h_2 = \lambda^{-1} h_1$, *where* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$. *This shows that every algebraic global section of this bundle vanishes at* c_2.

By a multi-blowup we mean a finite composition of blowups along smooth centers. The basic tool used in these proofs is transformation to simple normal crossing.

By a multi-blowup we mean a finite composition of blowups along smooth centers. The basic tool used in these proofs is transformation to simple normal crossing.

Definition 3

We say that a regular function $g : X \to \mathbb{R}$ on a non-singular real algebraic variety of dimension d is a simple normal crossing if in a neighbourhood of each point $a \in X$ one has

$$g(x) = u(x)x^{\alpha} = u(x)x_1^{\alpha_1}x_2^{\alpha_2}\dots x_d^{\alpha_d}$$

where $u(x)$ is a unit at a, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$ are local coordinates near a, i.e. $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d \in \mathcal{O}_{a,X}$ is a regular system of parameters of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{a,X}$.

By a multi-blowup we mean a finite composition of blowups along smooth centers. The basic tool used in these proofs is transformation to simple normal crossing.

Definition 3

We say that a regular function $g : X \to \mathbb{R}$ on a non-singular real algebraic variety of dimension d is a simple normal crossing if in a neighbourhood of each point $a \in X$ one has

$$g(x) = u(x)x^{\alpha} = u(x)x_1^{\alpha_1}x_2^{\alpha_2}\dots x_d^{\alpha_d}$$

where $u(x)$ is a unit at a, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$ are local coordinates near a, i.e. $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d \in \mathcal{O}_{a,X}$ is a regular system of parameters of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{a,X}$.

Theorem 4

Let $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k$ be regular functions on X. Then there exists a multi-blowup $\sigma : \widetilde{X} \to X$ such that $\sigma^* f_1, \sigma^* f_2, \dots, \sigma^* f_k$ are simple normal crossings.

Lemma 5

Let X be a non-singular real affine variety and $U = X \setminus \{Q = 0\}$ a Zariski open subset of X. Every regular function f on U can be written in the form $f = \frac{g}{P}$ where g, P are global regular functions on X and $V(P) \subset V(Q)$.

Lemma 5

Let X be a non-singular real affine variety and $U = X \setminus \{Q = 0\}$ a Zariski open subset of X. Every regular function f on U can be written in the form $f = \frac{g}{P}$ where g, P are global regular functions on X and $V(P) \subset V(Q)$.

Lemma 6

Let X be a non-singular real affine variety, Q a regular function on X and $U := X \setminus \{Q = 0\}$. Then for any $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ there exists a multi-blowup $\sigma : \widetilde{X} \to X$ and a positive integer N such that $(Q^N f)^\sigma$ can be extended to a global regular function, i.e. $(Q^N f)^\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(\widetilde{X})$.

If the function f is of the form $f = \frac{g}{P}$ as a consequence of proof we get that

$$(Q^N)^\sigma \in P^\sigma \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(\widetilde{X})$$

.

We will now prove a crucial lemma

We will now prove a crucial lemma

Lemma 7

Let X be a non-singular real affine variety, $\mathcal{F}$ a coherent sheaf on X. For any $Q \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ and a section $s \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $s|_U = 0$ with $U = X \setminus \{Q = 0\}$, there exists a multi-blowup $\sigma : \widetilde{X} \to X$ and a positive integer N such that $(Q^N)^\sigma \sigma^* s = 0$ in $\sigma^*\mathcal{F}(\widetilde{X})$.

Proof of Lemma 7

By quasi-compactness, there is a finite Zariski open covering $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of X such that for each i we have:

Proof of Lemma 7

By quasi-compactness, there is a finite Zariski open covering $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of X such that for each i we have:

1) a presentation

$$\mathcal{O}_X^p|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \mathcal{O}_X^{q_i}|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\psi_i} \mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \to 0.$$

Proof of Lemma 7

By quasi-compactness, there is a finite Zariski open covering $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of X such that for each i we have:

1) a presentation

$$\mathcal{O}_X^p|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \mathcal{O}_X^{q_i}|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\psi_i} \mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \to 0.$$

2) $s|_{U_i} = \psi_i(t_i)$ for some $t_i \in \mathcal{O}_X^{q_i}(U_i)$.

Proof of Lemma 7

By quasi-compactness, there is a finite Zariski open covering $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of X such that for each i we have:

1) a presentation

$$\mathcal{O}_X^p|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \mathcal{O}_X^{q_i}|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\psi_i} \mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \to 0.$$

2) $s|_{U_i} = \psi_i(t_i)$ for some $t_i \in \mathcal{O}_X^{q_i}(U_i)$.

By the assumption, $t_{ix} \in \phi_i(\mathcal{O}_{x,X}^p)$ for each $x \in U_i \cap U$, and thus

$$t_{ix} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} f_{ijx}\phi_i(e_j)_x,$$

where $e_j = (0, 0, \ldots, 0, \underset{\widehat{j}}{1}, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathcal{O}_X^p(X)$ and $f_{ijx} \in \mathcal{O}_{x,X}$.

Proof of Lemma 7

Define the ideal

$$I_i = \{P_i \in \mathcal{O}_X(X) \,:\, P_i t_i \in \phi_i(\mathcal{O}_X^p)(U_i)\} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

Claim: $V(I_i) \cap U_i \subset V(Q) \cap U_i$.

Proof of Lemma 7

Define the ideal

$$I_i = \{P_i \in \mathcal{O}_X(X) \,:\, P_i t_i \in \phi_i(\mathcal{O}_X^p)(U_i)\} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

Claim: $V(I_i) \cap U_i \subset V(Q) \cap U_i$.

Proof.

If $x \in U_i \setminus V(Q)$, then

$$f_{ijx} = \frac{g_{ijx}}{h_{ijx}} \quad \text{where} \quad g_{ijx}, h_{ijx} \in \mathcal{O}_X(X),\ h_{ijx}(x) \neq 0.$$

Define $P_i := \prod_{j=1}^{p} h_{ijx}$. Then

$$P_i t_i \in \sum_{j=1}^{p} \mathcal{O}_X(X)\phi_i(e_j|_{U_i}),$$

and thus $P_i \in I_i$. Since $P_i(x) \neq 0$ we get, $x \notin V(I_i)$. □

Proof of Lemma 7

Let $P_{i1}, P_{i2}, \ldots, P_{ir_i}$ be generators of I_i. Taking $P_i := P_{i1}^2 + \cdots + P_{ir_i}^2 \in I_i$, we get $V(P_i) \subset V(Q)$.

Proof of Lemma 7

Let $P_{i1}, P_{i2}, \ldots, P_{ir_i}$ be generators of I_i. Taking $P_i := P_{i1}^2 + \cdots + P_{ir_i}^2 \in I_i$, we get $V(P_i) \subset V(Q)$.
Consider the case $i = 1$.

Proof of Lemma 7

Let $P_{i1}, P_{i2}, \dots, P_{ir_i}$ be generators of I_i. Taking $P_i := P_{i1}^2 + \cdots + P_{ir_i}^2 \in I_i$, we get $V(P_i) \subset V(Q)$.
Consider the case $i = 1$. By the reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 6, there exist a multi-blowup $\sigma_1 : X_1 \to X$ and a positive integer N_1 such that

$$(Q^{N_1})^{\sigma_1} \in P_1^{\sigma_1} \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(X_1).$$

Proof of Lemma 7

Let $P_{i1}, P_{i2}, \ldots, P_{ir_i}$ be generators of I_i. Taking $P_i := P_{i1}^2 + \cdots + P_{ir_i}^2 \in I_i$, we get $V(P_i) \subset V(Q)$.
Consider the case $i = 1$. By the reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 6, there exist a multi-blowup $\sigma_1 : X_1 \to X$ and a positive integer N_1 such that

$$(Q^{N_1})^{\sigma_1} \in P_1^{\sigma_1} \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(X_1).$$

Hence

$$\left(Q^{N_1}\right)^{\sigma_1} \sigma_1^* t_1 \in \phi_1^{\sigma_1}(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}^p)(U_1^{\sigma_1})$$

Proof of Lemma 7

Let $P_{i1}, P_{i2}, \ldots, P_{ir_i}$ be generators of I_i. Taking $P_i := P_{i1}^2 + \cdots + P_{ir_i}^2 \in I_i$, we get $V(P_i) \subset V(Q)$.
Consider the case $i = 1$. By the reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 6, there exist a multi-blowup $\sigma_1 : X_1 \to X$ and a positive integer N_1 such that

$$(Q^{N_1})^{\sigma_1} \in P_1^{\sigma_1} \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(X_1).$$

Hence

$$\left(Q^{N_1}\right)^{\sigma_1} \sigma_1^* t_1 \in \phi_1^{\sigma_1}(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}^p)(U_1^{\sigma_1})$$

and thus

$$(Q^{N_1})^{\sigma_1} {\sigma_1}^* s|_{U_1^{\sigma_1}} = 0.$$

Proof of Lemma 7

Note that if $\sigma_1 : X_1 \to X$ is a blowup and if a covering $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of X satisfies conditions 1) and 2), then so does the covering $\{U_i^{\sigma_1}\}_{i=1}^n$.

Proof of Lemma 7

Note that if $\sigma_1 : X_1 \to X$ is a blowup and if a covering $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of X satisfies conditions 1) and 2), then so does the covering $\{U_i^{\sigma_1}\}_{i=1}^n$. Now we can repeat the reasoning for $U_2^{\sigma_1}$ to obtain a positive integer $N_2 \geq N_1$ and a multi-blowup $\sigma_2 : X_2 \to X_1$ such that

$$(Q^{N_2})^{\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2} (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2)^* s|_{(U_1 \cup U_2)^{\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2}} = 0$$

and so on.

Proof of Lemma 7

Note that if $\sigma_1 : X_1 \to X$ is a blowup and if a covering $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of X satisfies conditions 1) and 2), then so does the covering $\{U_i^{\sigma_1}\}_{i=1}^n$. Now we can repeat the reasoning for $U_2^{\sigma_1}$ to obtain a positive integer $N_2 \geq N_1$ and a multi-blowup $\sigma_2 : X_2 \to X_1$ such that

$$(Q^{N_2})^{\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2}(\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2)^* s|_{(U_1 \cup U_2)^{\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2}} = 0$$

and so on. We continue this process and obtain a positive integer $N := N_n \geq N_{n-1} \geq \cdots \geq N_1$ and a multi-blowup $\sigma := \sigma_1 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_n : \widetilde{X} \to X$, $\widetilde{X} := X_n$, such that $(Q^N)^\sigma \sigma^* s$ vanishes on $\widetilde{X} = (U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \cdots \cup U_n)^\sigma$. This finishes the proof.

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of real algebraic varieties.

Lemma 8

If $\mathcal{G}$ is of finite type or coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-Modules generated by sections $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k \in \mathcal{G}(Y)$, then the pull-back $f^*\mathcal{G}$ is generated by the pull-back $f^*s_1, f^*s_2, \dots, f^*s_k \in (f^*\mathcal{G})(X)$.

Lemma 9

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on a non-singular real affine variety with local presentations

$$\mathcal{O}_X^p|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \mathcal{O}_X^{q_i}|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\psi_i} \mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \to 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

on a finite Zariski open covering $\{U_1, U_2, \ldots U_n\}$. Consider a finite family of Zariski open sets

$$V_j = X \setminus \{Q_j = 0\}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$$

where Q_j are regular functions on X, and sections $s_j \in \mathcal{F}(V_j)$. Assume that every V_j is contained in $U_{i(j)}$ for some $i(j) = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and that for each s_j there is a section $t_j \in \mathcal{O}_X^{q_{i(j)}}(V_j)$ such that $\psi_{i(j)}(V_j)(t_j) = s_j$. Then there exists a positive integer N and a multi-blowup $\sigma : \widetilde{X} \to X$ such that every section $(Q_j^N)^\sigma \sigma^* s_j \;\; j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ extends to a global section on $\widetilde{X}$.

Proof of Lemma 9

Since taking pull-back under a multi-blowup does not affect the assumptions, it suffices to consider only one $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

Proof of Lemma 9

Since taking pull-back under a multi-blowup does not affect the assumptions, it suffices to consider only one $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. So fix a j and let $t_{ji} = t_j|_{U_i \cap V_j} = (t_{ji1}, t_{ji2}, \ldots, t_{jiq_i})$ where

$$t_{jil} = \frac{t_{jil1}}{t_{jil2}}, \quad t_{jil1}, t_{jil2} \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$$

and

$$V(t_{jil2}) \cap U_i \subset V(Q_j) \cap U_i.$$

Proof of Lemma 9

Since taking pull-back under a multi-blowup does not affect the assumptions, it suffices to consider only one $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. So fix a j and let $t_{ji} = t_j|_{U_i \cap V_j} = (t_{ji1}, t_{ji2}, \ldots, t_{jiq_i})$ where

$$t_{jil} = \frac{t_{jil1}}{t_{jil2}}, \quad t_{jil1}, t_{jil2} \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$$

and

$$V(t_{jil2}) \cap U_i \subset V(Q_j) \cap U_i.$$

Using Lemma 6 we can find a positive integer N_1 and a multi-blowup $\tau_1 : X_1 \to X$ such that

$$(t_{jil}(Q_j)^{N_1})^{\tau_1} \in \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(U_i^{\tau_1}) \text{ for all } j, i, l.$$

Now define $\widetilde{s_{ji}} := \psi_i^{\tau_1}((t_{jil}(Q_j)^{N_1})^{\tau_1})$.

Proof of Lemma 9

Then for any two distinct indices i_0, i_1 we have

$$(\widetilde{s_{ji_0}} - \widetilde{s_{ji_1}})|_{U_{i_0}^{\tau_1} \cap U_{i_1}^{\tau_1}} \in (\tau_1^* \mathcal{F})(U_{i_0}^{\tau_1} \cap U_{i_1}^{\tau_1})$$

and

$$(\widetilde{s_{ji_0}} - \widetilde{s_{ji_1}})|_{U_{i_0}^{\tau_1} \cap U_{i_1}^{\tau_1} \cap V_j^{\tau_1}} = 0.$$

Proof of Lemma 9

Then for any two distinct indices i_0, i_1 we have

$$(\widetilde{s_{ji_0}} - \widetilde{s_{ji_1}})|_{U_{i_0}^{\tau_1} \cap U_{i_1}^{\tau_1}} \in (\tau_1^* \mathcal{F})(U_{i_0}^{\tau_1} \cap U_{i_1}^{\tau_1})$$

and

$$(\widetilde{s_{ji_0}} - \widetilde{s_{ji_1}})|_{U_{i_0}^{\tau_1} \cap U_{i_1}^{\tau_1} \cap V_j^{\tau_1}} = 0.$$

By Lemma 7 we can find a multi-blowup $\tau_2 : \widetilde{X} \to X_1$ and a positive integer N_2 such that

$$(\tau_2^* \widetilde{s_{ji_0}} (Q_j^{N_1+N_2})^{\tau_1 \circ \tau_2} - \tau_2^* \widetilde{s_{ji_1}} (Q_j^{N_1+N_2})^{\tau_1 \circ \tau_2})|_{U_{i_0}^{\tau_1 \circ \tau_2} \cap U_{i_1}^{\tau_1 \circ \tau_2}} = 0.$$

Proof of Lemma 9

Then for any two distinct indices i_0, i_1 we have

$$(\widetilde{s_{ji_0}} - \widetilde{s_{ji_1}})|_{U_{i_0}^{\tau_1} \cap U_{i_1}^{\tau_1}} \in (\tau_1^* \mathcal{F})(U_{i_0}^{\tau_1} \cap U_{i_1}^{\tau_1})$$

and

$$(\widetilde{s_{ji_0}} - \widetilde{s_{ji_1}})|_{U_{i_0}^{\tau_1} \cap U_{i_1}^{\tau_1} \cap V_j^{\tau_1}} = 0.$$

By Lemma 7 we can find a multi-blowup $\tau_2 : \widetilde{X} \to X_1$ and a positive integer N_2 such that

$$(\tau_2^* \widetilde{s_{ji_0}} (Q_j^{N_1+N_2})^{\tau_1 \circ \tau_2} - \tau_2^* \widetilde{s_{ji_1}} (Q_j^{N_1+N_2})^{\tau_1 \circ \tau_2})|_{U_{i_0}^{\tau_1 \circ \tau_2} \cap U_{i_1}^{\tau_1 \circ \tau_2}} = 0.$$

Considering all distinct pairs of indices, we can assume that the differences as above vanish for all those pairs. Therefore the sections

$$(\tau_2^* \widetilde{s_{ji}} (Q_j^{N_1+N_2})^{\tau_1 \circ \tau_2})|_{U_i^{\tau_1 \circ \tau_2}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,$$

glue together to a global section on $\widetilde{X}$. Thus $\sigma := \tau_1 \circ \tau_2 : \widetilde{X} \to X$ is the multi-blowup we are looking for.

Lemma 10

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-Modules of finite type and let $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ be sections of $\mathcal{F}$ on a neighbourhood U of a point $a \in X$. If $s_{1a}, s_{2a}, \ldots, s_{ka}$ generate $\mathcal{F}_a$, then $s_{1x}, s_{2x}, \ldots, s_{kx}$ generate $\mathcal{F}_x$ for all x sufficiently close to a.

Lemma 10

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-Modules of finite type and let $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ be sections of $\mathcal{F}$ on a neighbourhood U of a point $a \in X$. If $s_{1a}, s_{2a}, \ldots, s_{ka}$ generate $\mathcal{F}_a$, then $s_{1x}, s_{2x}, \ldots, s_{kx}$ generate $\mathcal{F}_x$ for all x sufficiently close to a.

Assume that, under the above assumptions, $U := X \setminus \{Q = 0\}$ with some $Q \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$. Then the sections $Q^n s_1, Q^n s_2, \ldots, Q^n s_k$ generate every stalk sufficiently close to a because the function Q is invertible in $\mathcal{O}_{a,X}$ for every $a \in U$. Now we are ready to prove the main theorem.

Lemma 10

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-Modules of finite type and let $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ be sections of $\mathcal{F}$ on a neighbourhood U of a point $a \in X$. If $s_{1a}, s_{2a}, \ldots, s_{ka}$ generate $\mathcal{F}_a$, then $s_{1x}, s_{2x}, \ldots, s_{kx}$ generate $\mathcal{F}_x$ for all x sufficiently close to a.

Assume that, under the above assumptions, $U := X \setminus \{Q = 0\}$ with some $Q \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$. Then the sections $Q^n s_1, Q^n s_2, \ldots, Q^n s_k$ generate every stalk sufficiently close to a because the function Q is invertible in $\mathcal{O}_{a,X}$ for every $a \in U$. Now we are ready to prove the main theorem.

Theorem 11

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on a non-singular real affine variety X. Then there exist a multi-blowup $\sigma : \widetilde{X} \to X$ and finitely many global sections $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k$ on $\widetilde{X}$ which generate every stalk $(\sigma^*\mathcal{F})_y$, $y \in \widetilde{X}$.

Proof of Theorem 11

Consider a finite Zariski open covering $\{U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n\}$ of X with local presentation of the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$

$$\mathcal{O}_X^p|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \mathcal{O}_X^{q_i}|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\psi_i} \mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \to 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 11

Consider a finite Zariski open covering $\{U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n\}$ of X with local presentation of the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$

$$\mathcal{O}_X^p|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \mathcal{O}_X^{q_i}|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\psi_i} \mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \to 0.$$

By Lemma 11, for any point $a \in X$ there are finitely many sections

$$s_{a1}, s_{a2}, \ldots, s_{am_a} \in \mathcal{F}(V_a), \quad m_a \in \mathbb{N},$$

on a Zariski open neighbourhood V_a of a, contained in U_i for some $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, which generate $\mathcal{F}$ over V_a.

Proof of Theorem 11

Consider a finite Zariski open covering $\{U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n\}$ of X with local presentation of the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$

$$\mathcal{O}_X^p|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \mathcal{O}_X^{q_i}|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\psi_i} \mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \to 0.$$

By Lemma 11, for any point $a \in X$ there are finitely many sections

$$s_{a1}, s_{a2}, \ldots, s_{am_a} \in \mathcal{F}(V_a), \quad m_a \in \mathbb{N},$$

on a Zariski open neighbourhood V_a of a, contained in U_i for some $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, which generate $\mathcal{F}$ over V_a. After shrinking V_a, we can also assume that $s_{ak} = \psi_i(t_{ak})$ for some $t_{ak} \in \mathcal{O}_X^{q_i}(V_a)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m_a$.

Proof of Theorem 11

Consider a finite Zariski open covering $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n\}$ of X with local presentation of the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$

$$\mathcal{O}_X^p|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \mathcal{O}_X^{q_i}|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\psi_i} \mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \to 0.$$

By Lemma 11, for any point $a \in X$ there are finitely many sections

$$s_{a1}, s_{a2}, \dots, s_{am_a} \in \mathcal{F}(V_a), \quad m_a \in \mathbb{N},$$

on a Zariski open neighbourhood V_a of a, contained in U_i for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, which generate $\mathcal{F}$ over V_a. After shrinking V_a, we can also assume that $s_{ak} = \psi_i(t_{ak})$ for some $t_{ak} \in \mathcal{O}_X^{q_i}(V_a)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m_a$. By quasi-compactness, we can find a finite covering $V_j := V_{a_j}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ of X. Clearly, every V_j is contained in $U_{i(j)}$ for some $i(j) = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof of Theorem 11

Consider a finite Zariski open covering $\{U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n\}$ of X with local presentation of the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$

$$\mathcal{O}_X^p|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \mathcal{O}_X^{q_i}|_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\psi_i} \mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \to 0.$$

By Lemma 11, for any point $a \in X$ there are finitely many sections

$$s_{a1}, s_{a2}, \ldots, s_{am_a} \in \mathcal{F}(V_a), \quad m_a \in \mathbb{N},$$

on a Zariski open neighbourhood V_a of a, contained in U_i for some $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, which generate $\mathcal{F}$ over V_a. After shrinking V_a, we can also assume that $s_{ak} = \psi_i(t_{ak})$ for some $t_{ak} \in \mathcal{O}_X^{q_i}(V_a)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m_a$. By quasi-compactness, we can find a finite covering $V_j := V_{a_j}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ of X. Clearly, every V_j is contained in $U_{i(j)}$ for some $i(j) = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Put

$$s_{jk} = s_{a_j k} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{jk} = t_{a_j k}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m_j = m_{a_j}$.

Proof of Theorem 11

Then $s_{jk} = \psi_{i(j)}(t_{jk})$ and the sections s_{jk}, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m_j$ generate $\mathcal{F}$ over V_j.

Proof of Theorem 11

Then $s_{jk} = \psi_{i(j)}(t_{jk})$ and the sections s_{jk}, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m_j$ generate $\mathcal{F}$ over V_j. The sets $X \setminus V_j$ are Zariski closed and thus are of the form

$$X \setminus V_j = \{Q_j = 0\}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$$

for some regular functions Q_j on X.

Proof of Theorem 11

Then $s_{jk} = \psi_{i(j)}(t_{jk})$ and the sections s_{jk}, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m_j$ generate $\mathcal{F}$ over V_j. The sets $X \setminus V_j$ are Zariski closed and thus are of the form

$$X \setminus V_j = \{Q_j = 0\}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$$

for some regular functions Q_j on X. It follows from Lemma 9 that there exist a multi-blowup $\sigma : \widetilde{X} \to X$ and a positive integer N such that for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ the sections

$$(Q_j^N)^\sigma \sigma^* s_{jk} \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m_j,$$

extends to global sections $\widetilde{s_{jk}} \in \sigma^* \mathcal{F}(\widetilde{X})$. Since $\{\sigma^{-1}(V_j)\}_{j=1}^m$ is a Zariski open covering of $\widetilde{X}$, it is easy to check that the global sections

$$\widetilde{s_{jk}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m_j$$

generate the pull-back $(\sigma^* \mathcal{F})_y$ for every $y \in \widetilde{X}$. This finishes the proof.

Corollary 1

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on a non-singular real affine variety X. Then there exists a multi-blowup $\sigma : \widetilde{X} \to X$ such that the pull-back $\sigma^*\mathcal{F}$ admits a global presentation:

$$\mathcal{O}^p_{\widetilde{X}} \to \mathcal{O}^q_{\widetilde{X}} \to \sigma^*\mathcal{F} \to 0$$

Corollary 1

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on a non-singular real affine variety X. Then there exists a multi-blowup $\sigma : \widetilde{X} \to X$ such that the pull-back $\sigma^*\mathcal{F}$ admits a global presentation:

$$\mathcal{O}^p_{\widetilde{X}} \to \mathcal{O}^q_{\widetilde{X}} \to \sigma^*\mathcal{F} \to 0$$

Connections with works of Tognoli:

Corollary 2

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on a non-singular real affine variety X. Then there exists a multi-blowup $\sigma : \widetilde{X} \to X$ such that $\sigma^*\mathcal{F}$ is an A-coherent sheaf.